

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 BRANDON LA'SHAUN TAYLOR,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SOLANO COUNTY CONFLICT
15 DEFENDERS OFFICE,

16 Defendant.
17

No. 2:20-CV-0356-KJM-DMC-P

ORDER

18 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
19 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to
20 Eastern District of California local rules.

21 On June 2, 2020, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which
22 were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within
23 the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.

24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United*
25 *States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
26 reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations
27 of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]

28 /////

1 court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 2, 2020, are adopted in full;
5 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and
6 failure to comply with court rules and orders; and
7 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

8 DATED: July 8, 2020.

9
10 
11 _____
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28